



QUIRK & TRATOS

SUITE 500 NORTH

LAS VEGAS NV 89109

3773 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | 09/151,531 09/11/98 ABE | H ASAHI98-14.P

PM92/1117 T

EXAMINER

BARTUSKA, F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3652

DATE MAILED:

11/17/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-	1
Office Action Surrena	09/15/531	H. AL	se it	al
Office Action Summary	Examiner 🦼 "		Group Art Unit	
	F.J. BAR	TUSKA	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence a	ddress—
Period for Reply		-,-		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE / MKt	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAI	LING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minim pire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be consider of this communicati	ed timely.
Status	,			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $9-1/2$	1-98			
☐ This action is FINAL.	-			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (r formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to 1 3.	the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s)//	·	is/are p	ending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)			_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s) 10 AND //		is/are a	llowed.	
Claim(s) 10 AND 1 Claim(s) 1-3, 6 AND 7 Claim(s) 4, 5, 8 AND 9		is/are re	ejected.	
(Claim(s) 4 5 8 AND 9		is/are o	bjected to.	
			ject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		requirer	Rent.	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	leview, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International	priority documents ha	ave been		
	•	, ,,		
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)			·	
.,				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			al Patent Applicat	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		other		
Office A	ction Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

1

Part of Paper No._

*U.S. GPO: 1998-454-457/97505

Application/Control Number: 09/151,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hughes et al. Notice adjustment means 16 which changes the spacing between the disc 12 and the plate 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/151,531 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes et al. Hughes et al show all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the splined connection. Merely calling for a splined connection between a shaft and a means rotating the shaft would involve only a notorious expedient of the art to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes et al in view of Hendrickson et al. Hughes et al show all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the disc cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the removable disc cover 25 of Hendrickson et al to provide the disc of Hughes et al with a removable cover plate.

Application/Control Number: 09/151,531

Art Unit: 3652

Oath/Declaration

6. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the post office address of each inventor. A post office address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The post office address should include the ZIP Code designation.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4, 5, 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to F. J. Bartuska at telephone number (703) 308-1111.

Page 4